

The Ascendance of 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa: Quelling Rebellion and Navigating Authority in Hamidian Aleppo

Safiah Muhammad Alsalameen* , Mazen Muhammad Farajat Department of History, Faculty of Arts, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

Received: 6/3/2024 Revised: 5/5/2024 Accepted: 24/7/2024 Published online: 1/6/2025

* Corresponding author: S.alsalameen@ju.jo

Citation: Alsalameen, S. M., & Farajat, M. M. (2025). The Ascendance of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa: Quelling Rebellion and Navigating Authority in Hamidian Aleppo. *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences*, 52(6), 7111. https://doi.org/10.35516/hum.v52i6.7



© 2025 DSR Publishers/ The University of Jordan.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC) license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Abstract

Objectives: This study aims to shed light on the rise of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa: Quelling Rebellion and Navigating Authority in Hamidian Aleppo .

Method: The researchers used a quantitative approach, specifically the inductive-deductive method, to analyze events reported in historical documents from the years under study.

Results: This study seeks to highlight the career of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa and his role in suppressing the Armenian rebellion that erupted in the provinces of Marash and Zeitun. These areas were administratively part of the province of Aleppo and experienced fierce resistance and political unrest in the late 19th century. Additionally, this study explores 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's rise to prominence against the turbulent backdrop of Ottoman politics and regional conflicts. By examining his strategies in dealing with the Armenian rebellion and navigating the complex power dynamics of the time, the study aims to gain insights into the intricacies of governance and military leadership during the Hamidian era.

Conclusions: 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa emerges as a central figure during this turbulent period of Ottoman rule, with his military role crucial in restoring stability and security to the region during the mentioned period. His adept handling of the Armenian rebellion underscores the importance of decisive action and strategic planning in suppressing internal disturbances. By effectively quelling the rebellion and implementing strict measures to maintain control, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa demonstrated his ability to navigate challenging circumstances and uphold Ottoman authority.

Keywords: 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa; Aleppo; Armenian Rebellion; Ottoman Rule.

صعود علي محسن باشا: قمع التمرد وسلطة التوجيه في حلب الحميدية صفيه محمد السلامين*، مازن محمد الفرجات الجامعة الأردنية، قسم التاريخ، عمان، الأردن

ملخّص

الأهداف: هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى تسليط الضوء على صعود علي محسن باشا: قمع التمرد وتوجيه السلطة في حلب الحميدية.

المنهجية: استخدم الباحثان الطريقة الكمية؛ خاصة المنهج الاستقرائي-الاستنتاجي لتحليل الأحداث التي أوردتها الوثائق التاريخية في السنوات قيد الدراسة.

الأهداف: تسعى هذه الدراسة إلى تسليط الضوء على مسيرة علي محسن باشا ودوره في قمع التمرد الأرمني الذي اندلع في ولايتي مرعش وزيتون. كانت هذه المناطق تتبع إداريًا لولاية حلب، وقد شهدت مقاومة شرسة واضطرابات سياسية في أواخر القرن التاسع عشر. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، تستكشف هذه الدراسة صعود على محسن باشا إلى الصدارة في ظل الخلفية المضطربة للسياسة العثمانية والصراعات الإقليمية. من خلال فحص استراتيجياته في التعامل مع التمرد الأرمني والتنقل في ديناميات السلطة المعقدة في ذلك الوقت، تسعى الدراسة لاكتساب رؤى حول تعقيدات الحكم والقيادة العسكرية خلال العصر الحميدي.

الاستنتاجات: يظهر على محسن باشا كشخصية مركزية في هذه الفترة المضطربة من حكم الدولة العثمانية، ويبرز دوره العسكري في استعادة الاستقرار والأمن للمنطقة خلال الفترة المذكورة. إن تعامله البارع مع التمرد الأرمني يبرز أهمية العمل الحاسم والتخطيط الاستراتيجي في قمع الاضطرابات الداخلية. من خلال قمع التمرد بفعالية، وتنفيذ إجراءات صارمة للحفاظ على السيطرة، أظهر على محسن باشا قدرته على التنقل عبر الظروف الصعبة، والحفاظ على السلطة العثمانية. الكلمات الدالة: على محسن باشا، حلب، التمرد الأرمني، الحكم العثماني

Introduction

In the annals of Ottoman history, the figure of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa emerges as a pivotal figure, particularly in the turbulent landscapes of Aleppo and its surrounding provinces during the late 19th century. His trajectory, from his initial assignment as a governor " kaymakam " in Aleppo to his eventual rise as a key military commander, sheds light on the complex political dynamics and challenges facing the Ottoman Empire during the Hamidian era.

Amidst the political intrigue and power struggles of the time, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's tenure in Aleppo was marked by significant events and pivotal roles. Often seen as a figure of exile, he found himself stationed in Aleppo, a common destination for officials perceived as a threat to the central authority in Istanbul. However, far from languishing in obscurity, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's tenure in Aleppo would prove instrumental in shaping the history of the region.

One of the defining episodes in 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's career was his pivotal role in putting down the Armenian rebellion that erupted in the provinces of Maraṣ and Zeytūn¹. These regions, which fell under the jurisdiction of Aleppo province, witnessed fierce resistance and political unrest in the late 19th century. 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's skillful handling of these rebellions not only cemented his reputation but also fueled his rise through the ranks of the Ottoman military hierarchy.

This article seeks to delve into the life and career of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa, exploring his rise to prominence against the tumultuous backdrop of Ottoman politics and regional conflicts. By examining his strategies for dealing with the Armenian rebellion and navigating the intricate power dynamics of the time, we aim to gain insights into the complexities of governance and military leadership during the Hamidian era.

By focusing on 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa's efforts to subordinate the Armenian rebellion and the ensuing struggle for influence among various factions, this article seeks to shed light on a critical chapter in Ottoman history.

Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa Early life:

The available sources regarding the biography and early life of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa, unfortunately, offer limited insights. What we do know is that he is the son of Kel Haṣan Paṣa² (Süreyya,1996; Çıkar, 2004) governor of Adana in 1847, which suggests a background entrenched in political and administrative circles.

During the tumultuous Hamidian era, Aleppo served as a destination for government officials whom the Sultan sought to distance from the intrigues of Istanbul. 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa found himself among those exiled to Aleppo, suspected of involvement in the deposition of Sultan 'Abdü'l-'Azîz. This exile marked the beginning of his significant journey in the province. (Muhtar, TT-581131).

'Alī Muḥsin Paşa was appointed in Aleppo as General Commander Arriving in Aleppo in the 1880s with the rank of kāymakām, 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa quickly assumed a prominent position within the Ottoman Army stationed in Aleppo. His tenure was marked by his pivotal role in quelling the Armenian rebellion in Maraş and Zeytūn, both of which fell under the jurisdiction of the Aleppo province during the nineteenth century. (Muhtar, TT-581131; Alparslan ,*Yakar*,2009; *Sâlnâme* (1313H); *Sâlnâme* (1316). His adept handling of these volatile situations earned him a commendation and propelled his rise through the ranks, culminating in his appointment as the aide-de-camp to the chief of staff and the esteemed title of "Halep ve Adana fevkalade 'umūm kumāndāmı" (General Commander of Aleppo and Adana) after Müṣīr Edhem Paṣa. 3 (Muhtar, TT-581131, Hülâgü,1996).

¹ Zeytūn was a very mountainous town center in the Maraş province. It was called Zeytūn because of the many olive trees. The current name is Süleymanlı, and is located north-west of Maraş. (Türk Kültürünü Araştırma Enstitüsü Yay,1995).

² Hasan Ḥakkī Paṣa, known by the nickname "Kel," was born in Tokat. He rose through the ranks of the military until he reached the rank of Ferīk. In 1826, as part of the changes in the Anatolian provincial system, the administration of the provinces of Aydın, Sığla, Menteşe, Saruhan, and Menteşe were combined and placed under the command of Izmir Guardian Ḥakkī ı Paṣa. In 1838, he became the guardian of Cyprus, in 1839, the guardian of Aydın, and later appointed as the Ferīk of Teke, becoming one of the Anatolian dignitaries in the formation of the army. In January 1846, he was given the title of Vizier and appointed as the governor of Adana, and in August 1847, he became the governor of Konya.

³ Edhem Paşa was born August 12, 1844, served as Commander in Chief of Ottoman Army in the Ottoman-Greek War of 1897 and as

Given the gravity of these historical events, the subsequent exploration will delve deeper into 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's strategies to suppress the Armenian rebellion and the complexities surrounding his interactions with ruling authorities in pursuit of a resolution.

Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa's Role in Subduing the Armenian Uprising of 1895 (Taoutel, 1922).

By 1897-1898, the Aleppo Vilayet had 23 districts, 64 subdistricts, and 4,541 villages. Throughout the 19th century, the province's administrative divisions experienced instability. The main reason for this was the rebellions and disturbances within the province, along with economic fluctuations. In the Aleppo province, there were some localities with dense Armenian populations, such as the Zeytūn district. However, Armenians constituted only about one-fifth of the province's population when compared to other districts and the total population. In the province, people of different ethnic backgrounds coexisted, including Muslims, Christians, and Jews, who mainly spoke Arabic. Turkish was the second most commonly spoken language, particularly in districts like Beylan (Belen), Antakya, Kilis, Ayıntab, Urfa, and Mara, where it was the predominant language. (Güllü, 2012). Ramazan Güllü, among those scholars who have conducted comprehensive examinations of the Armenian events in Aleppo during 1895, underscored the significant presence of Armenians within Aleppo society at that juncture. In the Aleppo province, Armenians held considerable influence in commercial and economic spheres, with a notable representation in various administrative roles. This influence extended beyond the provincial center, as Armenians occupied official positions within the kaza' and sanjaks of the province as well. (Güllü. 2012).

The Zaytoun Armenians living in the mountainous region took advantage of the difficult conditions of the land to form a kind of feudal rule. They constantly raised issues regarding the payment of taxes and sometimes rebelled against the Ottoman state. However, the "Armenian Question" that emerged in the last quarter of the 19th century affected the nature of the uprisings in Zeytūn. Zeytin's Armenians now began to rebel against the Ottoman state with the idea of gaining independence. One of the uprisings launched by the Armenians towards this goal was the 1895 uprising.

The Treaty of Berlin, which was contracted on 13 July 1878, proved a serious disappointment for the Armenians. Their disappointment was that the name "Armenia" was neither placed in the Treaty, nor was it even pronounced. Moreover, there was no notice about the nationality of the reform officers, and "reform was impossible with Turkish governors." (Nabandian, 1963), Uras, 1987).

The conditions of the Armenians in the Anatolian provinces were no longer in the foreground for the great powers, as they found little interest from France and from here the first beginnings of the emergence of The Hınçak federation. (Zeidner, 1976). After the failure of their attempt to be recognized by European powers at the Berlin Conference, the Armenian federation; Hınçak seemingly took it upon themselves to mobilize the people to fight the Ottoman. This federation tried to form separatist associations in Bitlis, Diyarbakir and Zeytūn in 1894, that spread nationalist principles among the Armenians and mobilized them to achieve independence from the Sultanate.

Starting at the end of October, Zeytūn Armenians attacked the surrounding villages and killed the inhabitants living there without distinguishing between children and women. At the same time, the Armenians of Maraş started a series of organized attacks and massacres.

Later, the Armenian rebellion moved to various parts of some Ottoman provinces, including Aleppo, in which the Armenians also refused to pay taxes, this resulted in disputes between the two parties, for example on September 24, 1311 (October 6, 1895), Gendarmerie Mulāzim 'Osmān Efendi went to the Alabaş district of Zeytūn district to collect the taxes,

Minister of War for 2 weeks in 1909. He graduated from the Military Academy and the Military Academy with the rank of Mülâzım -1 sani. After his military service as Lieutenant of Infantry II. He was part of the retinue of Abdülhamīd. He served as adjutant to the Minister of War on various military missions in Rumelia and Serbia. After the Ottoman-Serbian War of 1876-1877, he participated in the Ottoman-Russian War. He held the rank of Mīrliva' from 1877 to 1878. After the Ottoman-Russian War of 1877 to 1878 he was appointed governor of Kosovo and Skopje with the rank of Ferīķ to Skopje to the governor of Beirut and then to the general commander of Adana and the surrounding area.

but the Armenians refused to pay. (BOA, A.MKT.MHM. 646/1, 8 Teşrin-i Evvel 1311(October 20, 1895).150 armed Armenians then gathered in the Karakütük district of Alabaş, with others from the surrounding area joining them. (BOA, A.MKT.MHM. 646/1, 8 Teşrin-i Evvel 1311 (October 20, 1895) The stated aim of the Hınçak Committee was to pillage the surrounding villages. (BOA, A.MKT.MHM. 646/2; BOA, BOA, HR. SYS. 2810/2, 24 Teşrin-i Evvel 1311(November 5, 1895); BOA, Y.PRK.ZB. 16/82, 1311H (November 11, 1895).

There is a similarity between the causes of the clash that occurred between the Ottoman government officials and the Armenians in Sasun and Zeytūn, which was represented in the collection of taxes. Apparently, the Ottoman government created those events against the Armenians, to use it as a pretext and reason to attack and fight them in order to prevent any Armenian separatist movement.

Events escalated between the Hınçak Committee and Muslims in the Zeytūn region, with many people killed on both sides. The kāymkām of Zeytūn sent a request to Muṣṭafā Remzī Paṣa, the mutaṣarrıf of Maraṣ, pleading for protection for the villages from the attacks, and that any measures required should be taken against the Armenians, and that the killing would cease if soldiers were dispatched.⁴ (Sâlnâme, 1313H ,BOA, HR. SYS. 2810/2, 24 Teṣrin-i Evvel 1311H (November 5, 1895). The Ottoman forces began a widespread campaign of arrests and on 15 November, more than eight hundred Armenians from Zeytūn, Fırnıs, and Keban attacked the center of the Andirin district, killing an unknown number of Muslims and seizing their property. (Bağçeci, 2008; BOA, HR. SYS. 2810/2, 24 Teṣrin-i Evvel 1311H (November 5, 1895);BOA, A.MKT.MHM. 646/14.) In addition to damage to the mosque and Christian houses in the incident, they looted and burned government mansions and Muslim houses. They then took local officers and families from the people who failed to escape to Zeytūn as prisoners. ⁵ (BOA, HR.SYS. 2810/2, 2 Teṣrîn-i sânî 1311 (November 14, 1895; Koçak, 2007).

Armenian committees continued to be organized in the region, receiving external support from Europe, with serious incidents continuing to plague the region. Arms support provided to the Armenian committees from abroad was mostly transmitted by sea via Cyprus. Weapons were obtained from both Europe and America, and stored in Egypt and Cyprus, both of which were under British rule; they were brought to the shores of Iskenderun, Adana, and Mersin by British ships, and then transported to rebellious areas. The fact that British ships had the right to enter and exit Ottoman waters freely made it difficult for the Ottoman Empire to prevent these activities. (Günay, 2007); (BOA, Y.PRK.AZJ. 31/84, 29 Z 1312 (Jun 23, 1895); BOA, HR.SYS. 2810/2).

The Armenian rebellion escalated more throughout the province. The Hınçak committee attempted to encourage Armenians living around Antakya and Süveydiye to attack the people of Zeytūn and the military units in their area. (al-^{Gazzī}, ^{2006).} It also threatened pro-government Armenians, threatening to attack any who did not support them. (BOA. A.MKT.MHM. 646/8, 26 Eylül 1311H (October 8, 1895).

Administrative and military measures were taken by government officials, and many of the committee's efforts were foiled, as, in addition to existing military forces in the region, a company of cavalry and 30 soldiers were sent as reinforcements. The activities of the committee members were followed closely, and most of them were arrested. In addition, the military demanded that any Armenian that could pose a threat were to be kept under surveillance, so they would not be able to harm innocent people. (BOA. A.MKT.MHM. 646/8, 26 Eylül 1311H (October 8, 1895)

However, these measures could not ensure the prevention of major events; in October and November 1895 in particular, as in many other regions of the country, the Zeytūn district was disrupted by serious upheavals stemming from the Armenian rebellion. Serious incidents occurred in the district of Zeytūn, together with its provincial centre, especially in the central Maraş Ṣancaḥ, as well as the Zeytūn and Urfa Antep districts. (Özşavlı, 2011, Güllü, 2010, Akköz, 2016).

However, the military troops were able to suppress the riots. Documents sent from the Armenian Patriarchate to

_

⁴ In 1895 Zeytūn was kaza' subordinated Maraş mutaşarrıflık. Sâlnâme 1(1313H), p. 329.

⁵ Since what happened during and after the riots does not constitute the main subject of our study, the details of the events are not entered. Although certain riot centres, which are in the forefront in the province in general terms, are mentioned above, similar incidents, albeit on a smaller scale, were experienced in almost every part of the province. For the events in the Zeytūn n Province in general.

Armenian delegations across the country regarding the reforms that were to be instigated in the eastern provinces, which were declared soon after these events, stated that the Armenian demands had been accepted by the government and that it was necessary to cease acts of violence. However, it was observed that ownership of weapons increased among the Armenian population and that Armenians made provocative overtures in various places (BOA. A.MKT.MHM. 646/12, 11 Teşrin-i Evvel 1311H (October 23, 1895).

Accordingly, armed rebellion occurred in many regions; it took a long time to quell the events in Zeytūn, where the Armenian population was dense and where Armenian riots had been taking place for some time. The Zeytūn barracks were captured by the rebels, with the captured weapons taken to the town of Zeytūn. Telegram connection between Antep and Kilis was severed, and the kaymakam of Zeytūn could only receive news from the Maraş Governorship. News of the events in Zeytūn spread, in other regions as well. For example, the Syrian governor's office, fearing that the events would spread to the province of Syria, particularly in Damascus and its surrounding region, demanded that military units in Syria be strengthened due to the events in Zeytūn. (BOA. A.VRK. 164/77 21 Teşrin-i Evvel 1311H (November 2, 1895).

With the intensification of the rebellion, Sadaret informed the Governor of Aleppo, Ḥasan Paṣa, to gain control of the province as soon as possible, noting that, if the turmoil could not be prevented, it would have a detrimental effect on the provincial administrators. Hasan Paṣa protested, stating that he had taken as many precautions as possible, and that the military in Maraṣ should be augmented, due to the serious situation, to ensure that security could be established. (BOA. A.VRK. 169/17, 3 Teṣrîn-i Sânî 1311H (November 15, 1895).

Aleppo governors failed to subdue the rebellion in Zeytūn. In 1895, other massacres took place in Biricik and later in Urfa; this was the worst, with two thousand Armenians killed. In 1895, Ḥasan Paṣa the governor of Aleppo was dismissed and replaced by Meḥmed Kâmil Paṣa⁶. (BOA. HR.SYS. 35/47 (November 28, 1895); Sultan Abdülhamīd instructed him to secure the region of Zeytūn and suppress the rebellion. The military was to be mobilized immediately to settle the chaos, and necessary precautions undertaken immediately, to prevent the revolution from expanding into other regions. (BOA. Y.PRK.BŞK. 43/92; Bağçeci, 2008; Muhtar, TT-581131).

It is evident that Mehmed Kâmil Paşa, previously acquainted with the challenges of the Armenian uprising during his tenure as governor of Aleppo, declined a reappointment to the post, citing its complexity and distance from the center. Despite initial resistance from 'Abdülḥamīd II, Mehmed Kâmil Paşa's insistence on an alternative appointment led to his designation as governor of Aydın. (*BOA. Y.EE.* 86/59, 8 Temmuz 1323H (September 31, 1907); *BOA. Y.EE.* 86/19. 27 Teşrîn-i Evvel 1311 (November 8,1895).

This shift left Muṣṭafā Zihnī Paṣa to assume governance in Aleppo on November 1895⁷, (Kuneralp, 1999; BOA. A.VRK. 163/33, 13 Teṣrîn-i Sânî 1311 (November 25, 1895); BOA. A.VRK. 163/38; Woods,1911; *Appletons, 1889*). arriving amidst the tumultuous aftermath of violent riots, rendering effective governance arduous. Muṣṭafā Zihnī Paṣa's brief tenure of forty days underscores the challenges of maintaining stability in such volatile conditions. (al-Ġazzī,2006; aṭ-Ṭabbāḫ,1988; BOA, BEO 702/52607, 28 Teṣrîn-i Evvel 1311(November 9, 1895;).

In response to the Armenian revolt, particularly in Zeytūn and Alabas, Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa, supported by the Ottoman army under Muṣṭafā Remzī Paṣa, emerged as a central figure in spearheading the campaign against rebel forces.

_

⁶ Meḥmed Kāmil Paşa's second appointment as a governor of Aleppo was seen by the representatives of Europe and America, as well as by western nations in general, that Meḥmet Kāmil Paşa had been sent there in order to remove him from the centre of political influence.

^{7.} Born in 1848, Muṣṭafā Zihnī Paṣa was a Kurdish prince, and the father of Ahmed Na'īm Bey, the famous scholar. He was a member of the Great Knowledge Council in Istanbul, and held some important administrative positions during the reign of Sultan 'Abdülhamīd II, including the Sadaret Müsteşarı from January 1879 to September 1885. He was Minister for Endowments from September 27 to September 29, 1885 and from May 1890 to November 1891, Minister of Finance from September 30 1885 to December 1886, Minister of Trade from December 1886 to May 1890. He was the governor of Selanik from October 1891 to November 1895, governor of Zeytūn from November 1895 to January 1896, governor of Hüdavendigar from June 1896 to January 1897 and governor of Adana and Yanina. His final appointments were as Minister of Trade from January 1899 to August 1908, Meclis-i â'yân azası from December 1908, and Şura-yı devlet reisi from April to May 1909. He died in 1929.

His strategic efforts, including the siege of Geben, were aimed at restoring order and suppressing resistance. However, the intricate dynamics of the rebellion, exemplified by the retaliatory actions of Zeytūn fighters, underscored the complexity and depth of the unrest. (BOA, A.MKT.MHM. 646/13, 9 Cemaziyelevvel 1313 ve 16 Tesrin-i Evvel 1311 (October 28, 1895). Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa then moved to besiege the Geben region in Zeytūn and demanded that the Armenian cavalry surrender and not resist, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa was equipped with 8,000 soldiers. On 20 November 1895, a military operation led by Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa was launched against Armenian rebels in Geben. Miralay 'Alī Muhsin Paşa successfully regained control of Geben from the rebels. Armenian cavalry surrendered and did not resist, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa was equipped with 8,000 soldiers. On 20 November 1895, a military operation led by Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa was launched against Armenian rebels in Geben. Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa successfully regained control of Geben from the rebels. However, after 'Alī Muhsin Paşa withdrew from Geben, the Armenians seized the opportunity to attack the area again. Agasi, probably a participant or witness to the events, provided details of the retaliatory attack organized by the Zeytūn fighters. They formed a group to punish what they perceived as treachery by the people of Geben. With a large number of fighters, including young princes and friends, they launched a surprise attack on the villages of Geben. The villagers did not resist and sent their leaders away. The Zeytūn horsemen pursued and killed many of the fleeing people to inform 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa. They also looted the village before returning to Zeytūn. This account sheds light on the complex dynamics and retaliation during the Armenian Rebellion of 1895. (BOA, A.MKT. MHM. 647/27, 10 Teşrîn-i sânî 1311 (November 22,1895).

As tensions escalated, coordinated efforts involving reserve troops from Zeytūn, under the command of Muṣṭafā Remzī Paṣa, alongside the leadership of Ethm Paṣa and Miralay ʿAlī Muḥsin Paṣa, were pivotal in subduing the rebellion. Miralay ʿAlī Muḥsin Paṣa's decisive role in restoring order earned him the esteemed title of "Halep ve Adana Fevkalade Umum Kumandanı," reflecting his prominence and authority in the region. (BOA, A.MKT. MHM. (647/27, 10 Teṣrîn-i sânî 1311 (November 22, 1895).

Miralay ʿAlī Muḥsin Paṣa's military operations, notably the successful recapture of Geben from rebel forces, highlight his strategic acumen and leadership. Despite subsequent challenges, such as the retaliatory attack organized by Zeytūn fighters, Miralay ʿAlī Muḥsin Paṣa remained resolute in his efforts to stabilize the region.

Under Miralay ʿAlī Muḥsin Paṣa's command, reserve troops from Zeytūn, alongside forces from Izmir and Adana under Muṣṭafā Ramzī Paṣa and Ethm Paṣa respectively, decisively quelled the rebellion, bringing order to the province of Zeytūn. ʿAbdülḥamīd's unwavering support for Miralay ʿAlī Muḥsin Paṣa, coupled with strict security measures and thorough patrols implemented by the commander, effectively maintained control and prevented further unrest. (aṭ-Ṭabbāḫ,1988). Miralay ʿAlī Muḥsin Paṣa finally subjugated the rebellion and brought order to the province of Zeytūn. He was then appointed to the military unit in Zeytūn as "Halep ve Adana Fevkalade Umum Kumandanı." (aṭ-Ṭabbāḫ,1988; Muhtar, TT-581131).

Sultan 'Abdülḥamīd's unwavering support for 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa persisted amid the ongoing threat of Armenian insurgency. The entire province remained under vigilant scrutiny, with 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa tasked to maintain a central base and personally oversee patrols throughout the region. Reports indicating planned insurrection in Zeytūn prompted intensified security measure (Güllü, 2011; BOA. BEO. 39/70.8 Eylül 1313 (20 Eylül 1897); BOA. A.MKT.MHM. 653/3 18 Eylül 1313 (September 30, 1897).

'Alī Muḥsin Paşa not only augmented military presence in the area but also conducted thorough inspections of surrounding villages. Employing a strategy of stability and order "istikrar ve asayişi", he advised the Armenian elite and clergy to exercise control over their communities, mitigating the risk of further unrest. During this period, a significant number of Hınçak Committee members were apprehended, and their incriminating documents were seized. (BOA. BEO. 42/9, 6 Teşrîn-i Evvel 1313 (October 18, 1897).

Through his efforts in "stabilizing security and order," 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa consolidated his influence and bolstered Ottoman governance in the region. (al-Gazzī,2006.; aṭ-Ṭabbāḥ, 1988). Consequently, 'Abdülḥamīd II continued to extend his support, honoring 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa with a "medal of honor, second rank" and entrusting him with overseeing the

construction of key military and governmental infrastructure in Zeytūn and Adana provinces. (BOA. BEO, 1234/92478, 7 Teṣrîn-i Sânî 1314 (November 19, 1898).

In summary, 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa played a pivotal role in quelling the Armenian rebellion, particularly in Zeytūn and Alabas. As a commanding figure supported by the Ottoman army, he led strategic campaigns aimed at restoring order and suppressing resistance. Through meticulous planning and decisive action, 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa successfully subdued the rebellion and brought stability to the region. His proactive measures, including increased military presence, patrols, and targeted arrests of insurgents, effectively prevented further uprisings and preserved Ottoman authority. 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa 's leadership and efforts in "stabilizing security and order" were instrumental in consolidating Ottoman control over the entire province, earning him continued support and recognition from 'Abdülḥamīd II.

'Alī Muḥsin Paşa and his disputes with two governors of Aleppo

In the realm of late Ottoman politics, the figure of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa looms large, especially concerning his conflicts with the governors of Aleppo. His notable success in quelling the Armenian rebellion bolstered his power and influence in the region, which became apparent through his disagreements with successive governors of Aleppo. These disputes often reached a boiling point, with 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's capabilities becoming evident as he occasionally sought the dismissal of these governors.

A longstanding feud emerged between Râ'if Paşa, the governor of Aleppo, and Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa. Their interactions were marked by ongoing accusations and counteraccusations, culminating in Râ'if Paşa's eventual departure from Aleppo, partly due to the allegations leveled against him by 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa. The core of their disagreement centered on the appropriate measures to address the Armenian question, with 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa faulting Râ'if Paşa for what he perceived as leniency toward certain Armenian factions and criticizing his overall approach to Armenian affairs. (Güllü, 2011).

The tension between the two officials prompted Istanbul to intervene, dispatching a delegation to mediate and resolve the conflict. While Râ'if Paşa attributed the discord to differences in administrative philosophy rather than personal animosity, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa maintained that he always adhered to orders and attributed the conflict to Râ'if Paṣa's ambitions to control Aleppo, echoing the aspirations of Midhat Paṣa before him. (al-Ġazzī, 2006; BOA, Y.PRK.ASK. 144/36, 4 Eylül 1314 (September 16, 1898).

'Alī Muḥsin Paşa further confirmed that he always obeyed the orders and never rejected them. He maintained order and security, and he sent four "layiha" concerning the Arabic Bedevis who caused some problems. He finalized problematic cases with 250 bandits, murderers, and criminals in Antakya. As mentioned by 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa; the governor of Aleppo Râ'if Paşa was angry with him, just because Râ'if Paşa pointed to the tax officers as the violent problems caused by Arabic Bedevis. (Kırmızı, 2007; Güllü,2011; BOA, Y.PRK.ASK, 144/364 Eylül 1314 (September 16, 1898).

Furthermore, the conflict between 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa and Râ'if Paṣa continued, even though Râ'if Paṣa had been dismissed, with the Sultan eventually exiling Râ'if Paṣa to Aleppo. However, his exile was not of long duration, with the Sultan relenting and allowing him to return to Istanbul. (al-Gazzī,2006). It is clear that 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa aimed to gain power by replacing Râ'if Paṣa as the governor of Aleppo, but there is evidence that Râ'if Paṣa was still able to consolidate his position in the province once the civil unrest had been contained.

After Râ'if Paşa was dismissed, he was replaced by Enīs Paşa. His appointment resulted in harsh protests from the European embassies, because of his attitude towards the rebel Armenians whilst governor of Diyarbakır during the events of 1895-1896. The British and French Embassies demanded the Ministry of Foreign Affairs remove Enīs Paşa from his post, stating that "[his] appointment to a place of importance was not appropriate". (BOA, BEO.1972/147832, 05 Cemaziyelevvel 1318H (August 31,1900).

According to al-Gazzī, as soon as Enīs Paşa arrived in Aleppo, the foreign consuls asked 'Abdülhamīd II to replace him, stating that he had been accused of inciting violence against the Armenians in Diyarbakir, and had caused the death of some residents. With the increase of accusations against Enīs Paṣa, Sultan 'Abdülhamīd II appointed 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa

as his deputy to act on his behalf. (al-Tabbā, 1988; al-Gazzī.2006) Enīs Paşa was then ordered "not to leave his house or meet with anyone", on the pretext that he was sick; these orders were sent to him by telegram immediately. According to al-Gazzī, Enīs Paşa was virtually a prisoner for two months and was not seen by anyone. (al-Gazzī,2006). However, the more the administration attempted to conceal the true reason for his house arrest, the more the consuls would consider it to be an indication of their influence on government policy, thus encouraging them to continue their attempts to interfere in local politics. He noted that Ottoman officials being forced to bow to foreign pressure was unacceptable, not only to the people of the Ottoman Empire but to all the people of Islam. He also blamed 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa for siding with the consuls against the central government. It can thus be seen that Enīs Paṣa also had problems with 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa, as had Râ'if Paṣa. The telegram he sent to the Grand Vizier stated that 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa had always wanted to be governor, and had devised numerous strategies to achieve his aim, applying every means at his disposal.(BOA, Y. A.HUS.. 410/22, 19 Ağustos1316H (September 1, 1900). Besides that, the dispute between 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa and the two governors Râ'if Paṣa and Enīs Paṣa reflected the corollary to the system of patronage and nepotism during Hamidian time, in which some military command, was appointed through the influence of the Sultan, to serve him.

During the Hamidian era, Aleppo's governors found themselves in a precarious position, their authority constantly undermined by the pervasive influence of military commanders like 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa. This interference created a turbulent dynamic within the province, where governors struggled to assert control over internal affairs. The absence of clear jurisdiction over military forces further exacerbated tensions, leaving governors powerless to direct troop deployments, movements, or conduct. Consequently, this discord became fertile ground for disorder and intensified conflicts between civil and military leadership.

The intricate balance of power between civilian governance and military authority in Aleppo during this period underscores the complexities inherent in Ottoman provincial administration. The inability of governors to effectively manage military affairs not only weakened their control but also fueled administrative dysfunction, impeding the region's stability and governance. This historical context sheds light on broader themes of statecraft and power dynamics within imperial structures, highlighting the challenges faced by governors tasked with maintaining order and authority in a constantly shifting landscape of influence and control.

'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa and tribal subjugation in Aleppo province

In 1894, the cavalry battalions of the desert forces were also reorganized and reinforced to consolidate the Ottoman authority, ensure the security of the desert, and protect the districts and villages from the attacks of Arab tribes. ("Çölün te'min-i asayişi ve ma'mūrenin 'urbān muhācemātından muḥāfazası maķsadıyla teşkīl olunan müfreze taburunun"). BOA, BEO, 355/26562, 24 Receb 1311 H (February 5, 1894). Miralay 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa was appointed Commander of the desert forces." (al-Ġazzī, 2006; Muhtar, TT-581131).

Alī Muḥsin Paṣa played an important role in subjugating the tribes in the Aleppo, Dair az-Zūr and Ūrfā provinces. He also put down the Armenian rebellion and maintained order ("istiķrār ve asayiṣi") in the Zeytūn district, (BOA, Y.PRK.ASK, 144/36, 4. Eylül 1314H (August 23, 1898). which was subordinated to Aleppo province during the nineteenth century. (*Ḥaleb Sālnāmesi* (1302H); *Ḥaleb Sālnāmesi* (1313H); *Ḥaleb Sālnāmesi* (1316H).

By "stabilizing security and order" throughout the province, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa was able to consolidate Ottoman authority over the whole region. (al-Ġazzī, 2006; aṭ-Ṭabbāḥ, 1988). As a result, 'Abdülḥamīd II, awarded him a medal of honor, second rank, and assigned him the authority to oversee the construction of important military and governmental

establishments in the Zeytūn and Adana provinces. (BOA. BEO, 1234/92478, 7 Teşrîn-i Sânî 1314 H (November 19, 1898).

Moreover, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa maintained order and security in the provinces of Aleppo and Antakya, where he successfully subdued 250 bandits, murderers, and criminals. (Güllü, 2011; BOA, Y.PRK.ASK, 144/36 4 Eylül 1314 H (September 16, 1898). When in 1884 Ḥüseyin Camīl Paṣa, Governor General of Aleppo, faced the difficulties of collecting taxes from the nomads, he selected 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa on account of his ability and energy. (BOA, İ.TAL.110/48, 21 Ṣeval 1314 H (March 25, 1897).

There was some political tension between Râ'if Paşa, the general of Aleppo, and 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa. Although hierarchically, Râ'if Paşa held a higher position, 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa did not always follow his orders. Both officials complained about each other to the sultan. Râ'if Paşa claimed that 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa objected to his commands; he also stated that 'Alī Muḥsin's misguided policies were the source of disorder caused by the nomads in Aleppo and Adana. 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa also called Rā'if Paṣa Following in the footsteps of the Ottoman reformer Midhat Paṣa, wanted to turn Aleppo into his position. (al-Ġazzī, 1998; BOA, Y.PRK.ASK. 144/36, 4 Eylül 1314 H (September 16, 1898).

In September 1898, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa sent his defense to the palace. He argued that Rā'if Paṣa'a claims, and complaints were false and that he neither objected to his commands nor mismanaged the nomads. On the contrary, he finalized 250 problematic cases of banditry, murder, and other crimes in Antakya. According to 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa, "Râ'if Paṣa turned against me because of my reports in which I demonstrated the tax collectors in the region as the source of the trouble caused by the Bedouin tribes. (Kırmızı, 2007; Güllü, 2011; BOA, Y.PRK.ASK, 144/364 Eylül 1314 (September 16, 1898).

This refers to 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's earlier four reports about the nomadic tribes, in which he claimed that the nomads were causing the state so many problems because they opposed tax collection. As this was an administrative matter under the purview of Râ'if Paṣa, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa indirectly blamed him, noting that Râ'if Paṣa was unwilling to negotiate with or accommodate the nomads. (Kırmızı,2007; Güllü,2011.)

His rigid stance caused disobedience and revolt of the Arabs. Furthermore, under Râ'if Paşa, the Robe of Honour "Ḥilat" that was granted each year by the Sultan to the nomads as a means of increasing their loyalty to the state was not given to them. (BOA, Y.PRK.ASK, 144/36 4 Eylül 1314 (September 16, 1898). 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa interpreted it as a slight which incensed the nomads. As a result, they stopped providing the state with vital assistance in tax collection among their kinsmen. Râ'if Paṣa's rigid policies and tax collectors' actions alienated the nomads and caused much disturbance.

On the other hand, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa may have had ulterior motives in blaming the governor-general of Aleppo, perhaps hoping to gain more power for himself. According to the British consul Barnham, "'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's command over the desert lasted nearly 13 years, during which he raised a force of mule-mounted infantry (müfreze') and was so successful at controlling the nomad that he became an object of their unrestrained dread. His ability to collect taxes from them raised large sums for the Government but also helped him amass a significant personal fortune raising large revenues for the Government, but at the same time.

enriching himself. (FO 195/2054, (May21 1899 and December 21, 1903).

Thus, having even more authority over tax collection provided him with substantial material benefits. Eventually, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa was succeeded as Governor of the Desert in 1895 by a subordinate of his, Meḥmed Paṣa, who (it is claimed) continued to plunder the Arabs, sharing his gains with 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa. (FO 195/2054, (May21 1899 and December 21, 1903; Lewis, 1987).

Though harsh, the administration of the Desert Province was not ineffective. Consul Jago wrote from Aleppo in 1890 that the inroads of the Šammar, 'Anizah, and other tribes into the settled districts of Aleppo and their defiance of the Government "practically came to an end for some years". (Lewis,1987).

In conclusion, the tenure of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa as the Commander of the desert forces was marked by significant achievements and challenges. He played a pivotal role in establishing Ottoman authority and maintaining order in the Aleppo, Dair az-Zūr, and Urfa provinces, effectively subduing tribes and quelling rebellions. His efforts to stabilize security and enforce taxation in the region contributed to the consolidation of Ottoman control.

However, his leadership was not without controversy, as evidenced by the political tension with Râ'if Paşa, the general

of Aleppo. Their disagreements and complaints to the Sultan reflect underlying power struggles and differing approaches to governance. 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's criticism of Râ'if Paṣa's policies regarding tax collection and treatment of nomadic tribes underscores broader administrative issues within the Ottoman administration.

While 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's efforts to control the desert tribes yielded substantial revenues for the government and enriched himself, allegations of corruption and collusion with his subordinates tarnished his legacy. The transition of power to Meḥmed Paṣa in 1895 marked a shift in leadership dynamics but did not entirely resolve the challenges of governance in the Desert Province.

Despite the criticisms and controversies, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's command left a lasting impact on the region, demonstrating the complexities of governance in the late Ottoman period. His tenure highlights the intricate balance between authority, taxation, and tribal relations, shedding light on the challenges faced by Ottoman administrators in maintaining stability and order in the provinces.

Aleppo's Architectural Heritage: The Palaces of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa in the Late Hamidian Era,

In the late Hamidian era, Aleppo bore witness to the construction of the illustrious palace of 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa, a symbol of modern urban expansion in the city. Situated in the Jamīlīyah neighborhood, this opulent residence stood as a testament to the architectural trends of its time, reflecting the influence of modern European design that permeated Istanbul and other major Arab cities during the twilight years of the Ottoman Empire. Erected in the mid-1880s, the palace boasted two floors and an expansive garden, according to accounts by Aleppo's historian al-Ġazzī in his seminal work, "Nahr ad-dahab." (al-Ġazzī,2006) During World War I, General 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa's palace served as the headquarters for both the Red Cross Hospital and the Alliance School for Girls, established by the French Jewish Union in Aleppo.

Moreover, the palace's association with the Arslan and Oraz families, renowned for their Turkish-Armenian lineage, further underscores its rich heritage and familial ties. The interconnectedness between these families, forged through marriages and kinship networks, reveals the intricate social fabric that defined Aleppo's elite circles during that era.

Amidst these familial connections, General Ḥasan İzzet Paşa, a prominent figure in Ottoman military and political spheres, emerged as a central figure linked to the palace. His presence in Aleppo during World War I and subsequent involvement in Syrian governance underscore the palace's enduring legacy as a locus of political influence and familial heritage.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the Armenian uprising of 1895 presented a formidable challenge to Ottoman governance in Aleppo and its surrounding provinces. The strategic refusal of the Aleppo governorship by Meḥmet Kâmil Paşa, the brief and tumultuous tenure of Muṣṭafā Zihnī Paṣa, and the intricate military operations led by figures like 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa underscore the complex dynamics and difficulties inherent in maintaining order during periods of civil unrest.

'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa emerges as a central figure in this tumultuous period, showcasing the pivotal role of strong leadership and military acumen in restoring stability to the region. His adept handling of the Armenian rebellion highlights the importance of decisive action and strategic planning in quelling internal strife. By effectively subduing the uprising and implementing stringent measures to maintain control, 'Alī Muḥsin Paṣa demonstrated his capability to navigate through challenging circumstances and uphold Ottoman authority.

Moreover, the appointment of certain officials to Aleppo, including Kıbrışlī Kâmil Paşa and Râ'if Paşa, reflects the political intricacies of the time. These appointments, often perceived as forms of exile, were strategic manoeuvres by 'Abdülḥamīd II to mitigate the influence of certain individuals and counter potential threats to his authority. The dissatisfaction among some governors, such as Kıbrışlī Kâmil Paşa, further underscores the volatile political landscape exacerbated by the escalating Armenian uprisings.

In essence, the Armenian events of 1895 in Aleppo shed light on the multifaceted nature of governance and the intricate balance of power within the Ottoman Empire during the reign of 'Abdülḥamīd II. Through the lens of historical analysis,

the contributions of figures like 'Alī Muḥsin Paşa in restoring stability and maintaining order serve as poignant reminders of the challenges faced by imperial administrations in navigating through periods of societal upheaval and unrest.

Index:



Sultan Abdülhamīd Khan's Deputy Commander of Aleppo and Adana Province Ferīk Muḥsin Paşa and his Wife.8



2. Sultan Abdülḥamīd II Deputy Commander of Aleppo and Adana Province Ferīk ʿAlī Muḥsin Paṣa Cabinet Photograph in his Medalised Uniform, Misrlian Photography House, Syria Aleppo.⁹

 $^{{}^{8}\;\}underline{\text{https://www.janusmezat.com/en/product/5642635/sultan-abdulhamid-han-in-halep-ve-adana-havalisi-kumandan-vekili-ferik-ali-muhsi}$

https://phebusmuzayede.com/60551-sultan-abdulhamid-devri-halep-ve-adana-havalisi-kumandan-vekili-ferik-ali-muhsin-pasa-madalyaliuniformasiyla-kabin-fotografi-missirlian-fotografhanesi-suriye-halep-haliyle-17x25-cm.html

BOA: Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi

A.MKT.MHM: Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi Sadaret Mektubî Kalemi Mühimme Evrakı, 646/1, 646/2, 649/3, 646/8, 646/12, 646/14, 653/3, 647/27...

A.VRK: Evrak Kalemi Evrak: 169/17, 163/33, 163/38, 164/77.

BEO: Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi Bâbıâli Evrak Odası Evrakı. 39/70, 42/9, 1234/92478, 702/52607, 1234/92478, 1972/147832, 355/26562

HR. SYS: Hariciye Siyasi Kısmı Belgeleri, 810/2, 35/47.

Y.EE: Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi Yıldız Esas Evrakı, 86/19, 86/59

Y.PRK.ASK: Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi Yıldız Perakende Evrakı Askerî

Y.PRK.AZJ: Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi Yıldız Perakende Evrakı Arzuhal Jurnal, 31/84...

Y.PRK.BŞK: Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi Yıldız Perakende Evrakı Başkitabet Dairesi Maruzatı, 43/92...

Y.PRK.ZB: Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi Yıldız Perakende Evrakı Zabtiye Nezareti Maruzatı, 16/82.

Ottoman Yearbooks (Sālnāme):

Ḥaleb Sālnāmesi 14 (1302H), p. 184.

, p. 296 Ḥaleb Sālnāmesi 23(1313H).

Haleb Sālnāmesi 26(1316H), p. 329.

Muhtar, Sermed, Gördüklerim, duyduklarım Halep'teki sürgünler Ahu Taha Toros Arşivi, Ahu Taha Toros Arşivi, İstanbul belleği, (TR10/14/YEN/0033) İstanbul Development Agency, TT-581131

TNA: The National Archives.

FO (Public Record Office): FO 195/205

REFERENCES

Al-Ġazzī, K. (2006). Kitāb nahr ad-dahab fī tārīḥ Ḥalab (Vol. 3). Dār al-Qalam al-'Arabī.

Akköz, H. (2016). XIX. yüzyılın son çeyreğinde Halep vilayeti'nin ekonomik ve sosyo-kültürel durumu (İngiliz-Amerikan kaynaklarına göre) (PhD dissertation). Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University.

Alparslan, Y., & Yakar, S. (2009). Maraş Meşhurları. Öncü Basımevi.

Aṭ-Ṭabbāḥ, M. R. (1988). Aʿlām an-Nubalāʾ bi-tārīḥ Ḥalab aš-Šahbāʾ (Vols. 1–7). Dār al-Qalam al-ʿArabī.

Bağçeci, Y. (2008). 1895 Zeytun Ermeni İsyanı (PhD dissertation). Erciyes Üniversitesi.

Çıkar, J. R. M. (2004). Türkischer Biographischer Index / Turkish Biographical Index. K · G · Saur.

Güllü, R. (2011). Münşî ve Muhlis bir Midhat Paşa yetiştirmesi: Köse Mehmed Râif Paşa (1836–1911). Güney-Doğu Avrupa Araştırmaları Dergisi, 17, 71–113.

Güllü, R. E. (2010). Antep Ermenileri (Sosyal, Siyasi ve Kültürel Hayat). IQ Kültür-Sanat Yayıncılık.

Güllü, R. E. (2012). 1895-1896 Ermeni isyanlarının Osmanlı Vilayet Idaresine Etkileri -Halep örneği. Ankara Üniversitesi Osmanlı Tarihi Araştırma ve Uygulama Merkezi Dergisi, 32, 1–32.

Günay, N. (2007). Kıbrıs'ın İngiliz idaresine bırakılması ve bunun Anadolu'da çıkan Ermeni olaylarına etkisi. *Gazi-Akademik Bakış, 1*, 115–126.

Halil, Ö. (2011). Urfa Ermeni Olayları (1880–1920) (M.A. dissertation). Harran University.

Hülâgü, M. M. (1996). Gazi Edhem Paşa. Diyanet İslam Ansiklopedisi, 13.

İlter, E. (1995). Ermeni mes'elesi'nin perspektifi ve Zeytûn isyânları (1780–1915). Türk Kültürünü Araştırma Enstitüsü Yayınları.

Kırmızı, A. (2007). Abdülhamid'in Valileri: Osmanlı Vilayet İdaresi, 1895–1905. Klasik Yayınları.

Koçak, E. (2007). Osmanlı arşiv belgelerine göre Halep Vilayeti'nde Ermeni ayaklanmaları (1895–1915) (M.A. dissertation).

Mersin University.

Kuneralp, S. (1999). Son dönem Osmanlı erkanı ve ricali (1839–1922): Prosopografik rehber. ISIS.

Lewis, N. (1987). Nomad and settler in Syria and Jordan 1800–1980. Cambridge University Press.

Nabandian, L. (1963). *The Armenian revolutionary movement: The development of Armenian political parties through the nineteenth century*. University of California Press.

Süreyya, M. (1996). Sicill-i Osmanî (Vol. II/628). Kültür Bakanlığı & Türkiye Ekonomik ve Toplumsal Tarih Vakfı.

Taoutel, J. (1922). Ḥawādit Dair az-Zūr fī zaman al-Ḥarb. Al-Mašriq, 6.

Zeidner, R. F. (1976). Britain and the launching of the Armenian question. *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, 4, 465–483, 480–481.

Uras, E. (1987). Tarihte Ermeniler ve Ermeni meselesi. Belge Yayınları.

Woods, H. (1911). The danger zone of Europe: Changes and problems in the Near East. Little, Brown.

Janus Mezat. (n.d.). Sultan Abdulhamid Han in Halep ve Adana Havalisi Kumandan Vekili Ferik Ali Muhsi. Retrieved from https://www.janusmezat.com/en/product/5642635/sultan-abdulhamid-han-in-halep-ve-adana-havalisi-kumandan-vekili-ferik-ali-muhsi

Phebus Muzayede. (n.d.). Sultan Abdulhamid devri Halep ve Adana Havalisi Kumandan Vekili Ferik Ali Muhsin Paşa madalyalı, üniformasıyla kabin fotoğrafı. Retrieved from https://phebusmuzayede.com/60551-sultan-abdulhamid-devri-halep-ve-adana-havalisi-kumandan-vekili-ferik-ali-muhsin-Paşa-madalyali-uniformasiyla-kabin-fotografi-missirlian-fotografhanesi-suriye-halep-haliyle-17x25-cm.htlm.